

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/907,224

Applicant(s)

SAGIV ET AL.

Examiner

Peter Szekely

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Todd Garabedian.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2004

Time: 3:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Anticipation and obviousness

Claims discussed:

1-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Rayner et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The polymers in the invention will be restricted to a blend of a sulfonated polyurethane and an aliphatic polyurethane.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)